

**EXHIBIT 6: CONFIDENTIALITY JUSTIFICATION TABLE**

Pursuant to Protective Order No. 38604, Hawai‘i Electric Light Company, Inc. (“Hawaiian Electric” or the “Company”) hereby identifies redacted confidential and/or proprietary information that is being submitted as “confidential information” and: (1) identifies, in reasonable detail, the confidential information’s source, character, and location; (2) states clearly the basis for the claim of confidentiality; and (3) describes, with particularity, the cognizable harm to the producing party or participant from any misuse or unpermitted disclosure of the information. For designations of restricted information, additional descriptions of the cognizable harm are provided.

<b>Reference</b>	<b>Identification of Item</b>	<b>Basis of Confidentiality</b>	<b>Harm</b>
<p>Companies’ Letter dated January 13, 2023, <i>Revised North Kohala Energy Storage RFP and Updated Cost</i></p>	<p>Updated Cost Estimate</p>	<p>Confidential and/or proprietary commercial, financial and business information which falls under the frustration of legitimate government function exception of the Uniform Information Practices Act (“UIPA”).<sup>1</sup></p>	<p>Public disclosure of the confidential information could negatively impact the Company in future competitive procurement solicitations and negotiations for energy storage service agreements (“ESSA”). In addition, disclosure could give providers of competitive services information useful in making their own marketing decisions, without expending the time and money necessary to gather and develop the data, and could allow providers of competitive services to profit or otherwise derive benefits at the expense of the Company and its customers.</p> <p>The Company maintains that the subject information falls under the frustration of legitimate government function exception of the UIPA, as disclosure of the subject information would impair the Commission’s ability to obtain necessary information to properly perform its review of this regulatory proceeding (as the Company would not have submitted the confidential information in this docket but for: (1) the governmental function of reviewing the Company’s request for approval of the ESSA; and (2) the Company’s belief and reliance that the information would not be publicly disclosed).</p> <p>The confidential information: (1) has not been previously disclosed or otherwise publicly disseminated; (2) is not of the</p>

<sup>1</sup> HRS § 92F-13(3).

Reference	Identification of Item	Basis of Confidentiality	Harm
			<p>kind of information that the Company would customarily disclose to the public; and (3) is of a nature that its disclosure could (a) impair the Commission's ability to obtain necessary information from similarly situated parties in the future, and (b) cause substantial harm to the Company and/or its customers as previously described above.</p>